

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the application are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and remarks herewith, which place the application into condition for allowance. The present amendment is being made to facilitate prosecution of the application.

### **I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS AND FORMAL MATTERS**

Claims 1-9 are pending in this application. Claims 5 and 9, which are independent, are hereby amended. Support for this amendment is provided throughout the Specification.

No new matter has been introduced by this amendment. Changes to claims are not made for the purpose of patentability within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §101, §102, §103, or §112. Rather, these changes are made simply for clarification and to round out the scope of protection to which Applicant is entitled.

### **II. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103(a)**

Claims 1, 5 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0021364 to Asada et al. (hereinafter, merely “Asada”) and Japanese Patent No. JP11-177930 to Tonomura (hereinafter, merely “Tonomura”).

Claims 2-4 and 6-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as allegedly unpatentable over Asada in view of Tonomura and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,268,875 to Morio et al. (hereinafter, merely “Morio”).

### III. RESPONSE TO REJECTIONS

Claim 1 recites, *inter alia*:

“...wherein if it is instructed ... the control means causes the monitor image signal generation means to generate the monitor image signal that displays on one screen a picked-up image based on the image signal generated by the image signal generation means and a reproduced image based on the image signal reproduced by the signal-recording-and-reproducing means.”  
(emphasis added)

As understood by Applicant, Tonomura relates to a video camera and its control method. An image signal generated by an imager is recorded by a recording and reproducing section. Time expansion or compression of the image signal is conducted.


Applicant submits that Asada and Tonomura, taken either alone or in combination fail to disclose or teach the above-identified features of claim 1. Specifically, nothing is found that discloses or teaches “the control means causes the monitor image signal generation means to generate the monitor image signal that displays on one screen a picked-up image based on the image signal generated by the image signal generation means and a reproduced image based on the image signal reproduced by the signal-recording-and-reproducing means”, as recited in claim 1.

Claim 1 recites displaying a picked-up image and a reproduced image on one screen. The Office Action cites exemplary embodiment 3 (see paragraphs [0059-0061] and Figures 8-9) of Asada to reject the above-identified features of claim 1. The cited portion describes a method of outputting a converted signal and a non-converted signal. Asada describes “this signal and the reproduced signal before the conversion are selected and issued by the

switching circuit 27." (see paragraph [0059] of Asada). Applicant respectfully submits that the switching circuit 27 outputs only one image signal according to a type of a display apparatus, such as VF or an external monitor (see paragraph [0061] and element 27 of Figure 9 of Asada).

Figure 9 of Asada is reproduced below:

Patent Application Publication Feb. 21, 2002 Sheet 9 of 20 US 2002/0032464 A1



Since Asada issues only one signal, Asada fails to disclose or teach displaying two image signals on one screen, as recited in claim 1.

Therefore, claim 1 is patentable.

For reasons similar those above, claims 5 and 9 are also patentable.

#### **IV. DEPENDENT CLAIMS**

The other claims in this application are each dependent from one or another of the independent claims discussed above and are therefore believed patentable for the same reasons. Since each dependent claim is also deemed to define an additional aspect of the invention, however, the individual reconsideration of the patentability of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

Similarly, because Applicant maintains that all claims are allowable for at least the reasons presented hereinabove, in the interests of brevity, this response does not comment on each and every comment made by the Examiner in the Office Action. This should not be taken as acquiescence of the substance of those comments, and Applicant reserves the right to address such comments.

#### **CONCLUSION**


In the event the Examiner disagrees with any of statements appearing above with respect to the disclosures in the cited references it is respectfully requested that the Examiner specifically indicate those portions of the reference, or references, providing the basis for a contrary view.

Please charge any additional fees that may be needed, and credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is believed that all of the claims in this application are patentable and Applicant respectfully requests early passage to issue of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,  
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP  
Attorneys for Applicant

By



Thomas F. Presson  
Reg. No. 41,442  
(212) 588-0800